# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

| TAURUS BROWN,            | §        |                   |
|--------------------------|----------|-------------------|
|                          | §        |                   |
| v.                       | <b>§</b> | C.A. NO. C-08-170 |
|                          | §        |                   |
| CHRISTOPHER CARR, ET AL. | §        |                   |

## ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This is a civil rights action filed by a state prisoner pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending is plaintiff's motion for default judgment. (D.E. 30). For the following reasons, plaintiff's motion is denied.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Plaintiff is suing six employees of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice,

Correctional Institutions Division. An order for service of process was issued on June 26, 2008.

(D.E. 20). Service was executed on July 2, 2008. (D.E. 22). On August 1, 2008, defendants filed an answer. (D.E. 28). Plaintiff argues that the defendants failed to file an answer as of August 4, 2008, and that he is therefore entitled to default judgment. (D.E. 30, at 1).

### **DISCUSSION**

As the Fifth Circuit has established, "the entry of default judgment is committed to the discretion of the district judge." Mason v. Lister, 562 F.2d 343, 345 (5th Cir. 1977) (citation omitted); accord Lewis v. Lynn, 236 F.3d 766, 767 (5th Cir. 2001) (per curiam). Furthermore, "[f]ederal courts generally disfavor default judgments, preferring to resolve disputes according to their merits." Harper MacLeod Solicitors v. Keaty & Keaty, 260 F.3d 389, 393 (5th Cir. 2001) (citations omitted); see also Lewis, 236 F.3d at 767.

Defendants filed their answer on August 1, 2008, thirty days after service was executed on July 2, 2008. Defense counsel certified that a copy of the answer was mailed to plaintiff on August 1, 2008. (D.E. 28, at 6); see Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(C) (service complete upon mailing). Plaintiff's allegations, if proven, would fail to show that defense counsel's certification was inaccurate. He therefore does not show that the defendants' answer was untimely served or filed. Finally, he has failed to demonstrate that he suffered any prejudice.

# **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for default judgment, (D.E. 30), is hereby DENIED.

ORDERED this 11th day of August 2008.

BRIAN L. OWSLEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE